



Keegan Gulick <kgulick@migcom.com>

Aerts Addition (MPD 24-02) Comments Received to Date

STOLLE Bob L <Bob.Stolle@odot.oregon.gov>

Fri, Aug 16, 2024 at 5:27 AM

To: Keegan Gulick <kgulick@migcom.com>, CHILDRESS Arielle <Arielle.CHILDRESS@odot.oregon.gov>, "jinde_zhu@washingtoncountyor.gov" <jinde_zhu@washingtoncountyor.gov>, "rob_saxton@washingtoncountyor.gov" <rob_saxton@washingtoncountyor.gov>, "russell_knoebel@washingtoncountyor.gov" <russell_knoebel@washingtoncountyor.gov>, KNECHT Casey <Casey.KNECHT@odot.oregon.gov>, "tony_mills@washingtoncountyor.gov" <tony_mills@washingtoncountyor.gov>

I believe it is understood but we need to be clear that the conditions of approval for the project do not constitute approval for the pedestrian crossing. This is a separate process requiring a Crossing Order involving the railroads that will be among the parties along with any interested parties like the local jurisdiction. There are not many known requirements for a pedestrian crossing but vertical clearance of the tracks and separation from the tracks are controlled by the railroad and as discussed security fencing would likely be required or just a good idea.

I apologize if this was already documented but I did not see it in my review so I thought I should respond with this input.

Bob.

From: Keegan Gulick <kgulick@migcom.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2024 5:10 PM

To: STOLLE Bob L <Bob.Stolle@odot.oregon.gov>; CHILDRESS Arielle <Arielle.CHILDRESS@odot.oregon.gov>; jinde_zhu@washingtoncountyor.gov; rob_saxton@washingtoncountyor.gov; russell_knoebel@washingtoncountyor.gov; KNECHT Casey <Casey.KNECHT@odot.oregon.gov>; tony_mills@washingtoncountyor.gov

Subject: Fwd: Aerts Addition (MPD 24-02) Comments Received to Date

This message was sent from outside the organization. Treat attachments, links and requests with caution. Be conscious of the information you share if you respond.

[Quoted text hidden]